1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
10	ERIN DEAN RIEMAN,	
11	Petitioner,	CASE NO. 3:16-cv-05250-RBL-JRC
12	v.	ORDER DENYING FIRST MOTION FOR EXCESS PAGES
13	MARGARET GILBERT,	AND GRANTING SECOND MOTION FOR EXCESS PAGES
14	Respondent.	
15		
16	Petitioner Erin Dean Rieman filed two requests for excess pages: one requesting	
17	additional space in their response to respondent's objections (Dkt. 55); and one requesting an	
18	additional one-half page above the mandated six pages for a reply (Dkt. 59). Petitioner's second	
19	motion for excess pages was filed simultaneously with his overlength reply. Dkt. 60.	
20	Petitioner first asks for leave to file excess pages to respond to respondent's objections.	
21	Dkt. 60. However, the District Court has already ruled on respondent's objections. <i>See</i> Dkt. 64.	
22	Therefore, the length of petitioner's response is now immaterial and the Court denies petitioner's	
23	first motion for excess pages (Dkt. 55) as moot.	
24		

Second, petitioner asks for leave to file excess pages in his reply to respondent's response to petitioner's motion to expand the record pending before this Court. Dkt. 59. Though motions for overlength briefs are generally disfavored, the Court may consider them if they are filed no later than three days before the brief is due, be no more than two pages in length, and specify the amount of additional space requested. LCR 7(f). Petitioner has adhered to all of these requirements. Therefore, the Court grants petitioner's second motion for excess pages (Dkt. 59) and will accept petitioner's reply brief of six and one-half pages. Dated this 2nd day of March, 2018. J. Richard Creatura United States Magistrate Judge